

International Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Statistics

ISSN: 2455-9849

www.ijams.in | ijamssubmit@gmail.com

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Peer Review Policy

To scrutinize an author's scholarly manuscript from others who are experts in the domain, prior to publication process is defined as a peer review process. International Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Statistics (IJAMS) follows a single blind peer review process filter from a collection of manuscripts we receive in every issue. As a result of the peer review process IJAMS team generates a detailed review report which acts as a guide to authors, so they enhance the manuscript further (if required). It stimulates a desire to for improvement among young and energetic authors. Peer review is defined as obtaining advice on individual manuscripts from reviewer's expert in the field who are not part of the journal's editorial staff.

The IJAMS follows the [Principle of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing](#) of COPE Committee on Publication Ethics.

Elsevier recommendations and COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal

Publication Ethics Policy

Getting your manuscript published in a peer reviewed journal is an essential step to intensify in the consistency and network of knowledge hierarchies. It gives an impression to the author's work quality and the organizations which help them. Such articles endorse the scientific method, it is thus important to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for the parties included: the publisher, the journal team, the author(s). The IJAMS follows the Code of Conduct as defined by the COPE. [Committee of Publication Ethics \(COPE\)](#).

Responsibilities of the Author

The authors names should be listed on the article in order of their contribution to the article, and all authors take responsibility for their own contributions. Only those individuals who have made a substantive contribution should be listed as authors, those whose contributions are indirect or marginal (Example. Colleagues or supervisor who have reviewed drafts of the work or provided proofreading assistance, head of research institutes/centres/labs) should be named in an "Acknowledgements" section at the end of the article, immediately preceding the reference list. The corresponding author must ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the articles and that all listed co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the articles and agreed upon its publication.

Where an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in an article of his/her that has been published in IJAMS, the author has the obligation to promptly notify the editors and cooperate with them to correct the article or retract it as appropriate.

An author should not normally publish manuscripts describing essentially the same in multiple journals or publication venues. Such redundant publication is generally considered to constitute unethical publishing behavior, and if considered may result in a manuscript under consideration being rejected, or a published article being retracted.

Authors of manuscripts reporting on original research should present an accurate account of the work performed, accompanied by an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. The manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. The fabrication of results and the making of fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and may be cause for rejection or retraction of a manuscript or published article.

Where the manuscript reports on commercial software, hardware, or other products, authors must include a declaration at the beginning of the manuscript in which they must either state that no conflict of interest exists or describe the nature of any potential conflict. All sources of financial support for the research should also be disclosed in the manuscript.

Plagiarism Policy and Ethical Responsibilities

To maintain originality and avoid plagiarism by the research scholar. To avoid condition of double plagiarism, self-plagiarism or least publication units. To acknowledge the sources with full authenticity. Copyright form submitted should contain the signed consent of each author in the manuscript.

All papers submitted are initially screened and checked through the Advanced Plagiarism Detection Software (turnitin)

It is absolutely necessary that the author(s) obtain permission to reproduce any published material, figures, schemes, tables or any abstract of a text which does not fall into the open domain, or for which they do not hold the copyright.

Permission is required for

Your own research work published by any other publisher and for which you did not retain copyright. Substantial extracts from any others work or series of work. Use of tables, Graphs, Charts, Schemes and artworks if they are unchanged or slightly changed. Photographs for which you do not hold copyright.

Permission is not required for

Reconstruction of your own table with data which is already published anywhere else. Please notice that in this case you must give the source of the data in the form of either "Data taken from..." "Or "Used from...". Reasonably short quotes are considered fair and these do not need permission. Graphs, Charts, Schemes and Artworks that are completely redrawn and changed beyond recognition do not require permission.

Responsibilities of Reviewers

International Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Statistics (IJAMS) reviewers perform review work on voluntary basis as most of them are full-time employed, their reviewing activities may not be on priority. Reviewers are free to decline invitation of review process any manuscript at their discretion may be due to the workload of other assignments. They also do not accept manuscript review assignment on topics for which they are not very confident.

Reviewers who have accepted manuscript assignments are normally expected to submit their review report in stipulated time. Privileged information or ideas obtained by reviewers are through the peer review process must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents and must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by IJAMS chief editor.

When conducting their reviews, reviewers are asked to do so as objectively as possible, refraining from engaging in personal criticism of the author(s). They are encouraged to express their views clearly, explaining and justifying all recommendations made. They should always attempt to provide detailed and constructive feedback to assist the author(s) in improving the work, even if the manuscript is in their opinion not publishable.

The reviewers should identify in their reviews relevant published work that has not been cited by the author(s) including any areas where proper attribution of sources has not been provided in the manuscript. They should bring it to the attention of the editor/chief editor any major resemblances between a manuscript under consideration and other published articles or papers of which they are aware, as well as concerns they might have in relation to the ethical acceptability of the research reported in the manuscript.

Responsibilities of Editors

The IJAMS Editor and Chief Editor has ultimate responsibility for deciding if a manuscript submitted to International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications should be published and in doing so guided by IJAMS policies determined by editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding label, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may coy consult with the associate editor and other members of the editorial team as well as reviewers in making publication decisions.

The editors evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to the race, color, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, citizenship or political philosophy of the author(s). They will not disclose any information about a manuscript under consideration to anyone other than the author(s), reviewers and in some cases editorial board members, as appropriate. Additionally, the editor will make every effort to ensure the integrity of the double blind review process by not revealing the identity of the author(s) of the manuscript to the reviewers and vice-versa.

When evaluating a manuscript for publication in addition to considering standard criteria pertaining to the rigor of the manuscript, the quality of its presentation, and its contribution to humanity. The editors will also seek evidence that ethical harms have been minimized in the conduct of reported research. They will question whether the benefits outweigh the harms in the particular case study. Since IJAMS

welcomes the submission of manuscript from every country, it is necessary to recognize that laws and regulations regarding research ethics and ethical approval vary worldwide. As such, the editors may need to seek clarification in this regard with the author(s) and request that they supply a letter from the relevant institutional ethics committee or board that approved the research.

The editors will be guided by CORE's Guidance for Retracting articles when retracting, issuing an expression of concern about and issuing corrections pertaining to articles that have published in IJAMS. They are committed to working closely with research organizations and institutions in line with CORE's advice on Cooperation between Research Institutions on Research Integrity Cases.

Access

The IJAMS is an open access journal and individual articles are freely available online from the website <http://ijams.in> to all readers with open access policy, no fees/charges to pay per view or download the papers from journal website.

Publishing Schedule

The journal (IJAMS) publish Quarterly i.e., 1 Volume combined with 4 issues (March, June September and December).

Complaints System

Complaints can be submitted directly to email at ijamssubmit@gmail.com. The points at which one individual faced the problem should be specific and clear. It should be with valid email, phone number and permanent office/house addresses.

Fictitious complaints via email/latter without proper proof(s) and contact details will be rejected without any investigation. If complaint fulfills the above said requirements then it will be send to editor, chief editor and directors for further investigation(s) by the editorial office. The complainant will get primary information within two weeks after filing the complaint, if considered by the editorial office. An investigation team assigned by director(s) will observe the facts and proof provided by the complainant. The decision will be notified to the concern within seven weeks by the team members. If not agree with the decision, complainant can appeal again with same reference number, directly to the director(s).

Removal of author(s) name after publication

If corresponding author request to remove one of the co-author name or co-author request to remove one of the authors name, in both the cases one have to submit appropriate reason(s) in the editorial office. The request letter should be forwarded by the concerned Institute/University of the applicant, who request for author's name removal. In this case the IJAMS will follow the publication ethics guidelines and procedure as given in the flow chart <https://publicationethics.org/files/Authorship%20D.pdf>

* * * * *